A/B Testing

Nathan HAGEL, Polina ROHOZA

Objectives of A/B Testing



- Direct Comparison of different designs
- Decide based on data
- Improvement of UI, Reducing Errors, Improving time goals, sales etc.
- Extensively used by companies and research



[1]

A/B Experiment Structure

Platform: Amazon's Mechanical Turk

Organization: "Once a participant completed one condition (A), they <u>were</u> <u>excluded from participating</u> in any other conditions (B, C...) of the experiment." **and** "...the 50 shades of gray (were) presented in a <u>randomized order.</u>"



[1, 10]

Do Ticks Matter?



Results: Tick marks bias the results towards the location of the ticks [1].

Distribution of users' responses

Other Studies



2: Add campaign in Google Search [11]



3: Facebook posts [12]



1: A/B/C/D... test MSN [5]

Benefits and Drawbacks

Benefits:

- + Data-Driven Decisions
- + Reduces Risk of Change
- + Isolated Feedback on design changes
- + Easy to implement
- + User Behaviour Insights

Drawbacks:

- Explainability -> Only quantitative metrics, no explanation
- Primacy and Newness Effect

[3, 9, 10]

Best Practices for A/B Testing Pt. 1



Best Practices for A/B Testing Pt. 2

Keep Primacy and Newness Effect in mind!

Testing only one Change at a time may be too slow Control the Setup with A/A tests

> Logging of user interactions can save time

Conclusion



- Helpful method for evaluating design changes
- Data-Driven decisions
- Consider best practices
- Extensively used in industry

Sources

- Justin Matejka, Michael Glueck, Tovi Grossman, and George Fitzmaurice. 2016. The Effect of Visual Appearance on the Performance of Continuous Sliders and Visual Analogue Scales. In Proceedings of the 2016 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '16). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 5421–5432. https://doi.org/10.1145/2858036.2858063
- Alix Goguey, Deepak Ranjan Sahoo, Simon Robinson, Jennifer Pearson, and Matt Jones. 2019. Pulp Friction: Exploring the Finger Pad Periphery for Subtle Haptic Feedback. In Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '19). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, Paper 641, 1–13. https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300871
- 3. Lazar, Jonathan, Jinjuan Heidi Feng, and Harry Hochheiser. *Research methods in human-computer interaction*. Morgan Kaufmann, 2017.
- 4. Michael Toomim, Travis Kriplean, Claus Pörtner, and James Landay. 2011. Utility of human-computer interactions: toward a science of preference measurement. In Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '11). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 2275–2284. https://doi.org/10.1145/1978942.1979277
- 5. Kohavi, R., Crook, T., Longbotham, R., Frasca, B., Henne, R., Ferres, J. L., & Melamed, T. (2009). Online experimentation at Microsoft. *Data Mining Case Studies*, *11*(2009), 39.

Sources

6. Ron Kohavi, Alex Deng, Brian Frasca, Roger Longbotham, Toby Walker, and Ya Xu. 2012. Trustworthy online controlled experiments: five puzzling outcomes explained. In Proceedings of the 18th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining (KDD '12). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 786–794. https://doi.org/10.1145/2339530.2339653

7. Ron Kohavi, Alex Deng, Brian Frasca, Toby Walker, Ya Xu, and Nils Pohlmann. 2013. Online controlled experiments at large scale. In Proceedings of the 19th ACM SIGKDD international conference on Knowledge discovery and data mining (KDD '13). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1168–1176. https://doi.org/10.1145/2487575.2488217

8. Picture A/B Testing Websites: https://static.wingify.com/gcp/uploads/2021/05/1.png

9. Diane Kelly and Karl Gyllstrom. 2011. An examination of two delivery modes for interactive search system experiments: remote and laboratory. In Proceedings of the SIGCHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems (CHI '11). Association for Computing Machinery, New York, NY, USA, 1531–1540. https://doi.org/10.1145/1978942.1979166

10. Kohavi, R., Longbotham, R., Sommerfield, D. *et al.* Controlled experiments on the web: survey and practical guide. *Data Min Knowl Disc* **18**, 140–181 (2009). <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/s10618-008-0114-1</u>

Sources

11. Kohavi, Ron, and Roger Longbotham. "Online controlled experiments and A/B tests." *Encyclopedia of machine learning and data mining* (2015): 1-11.

12. Nichifor, Eliza, et al. "Eye tracking and an a/b split test for social media marketing optimisation: The connection between the user profile and ad creative components." *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research* 16.6 (2021): 2319-2340.